



# **Community Feedback on the Board Factors for Consolidation**

Facilities Task Force Meeting- March 12, 2024

#### **Purpose:**

- To begin the process of engaging the larger community with the work of the task force.
  - Familiarize the community with the factors that board policy requires the committee to consider in the process of making the recommendations
  - Ask community members to indicate how important each factor is to them
- To increase engagement in comparison to the 2018 survey (for which there were 66 respondents)
- To identify opportunities to improve engagement and representation as the committee moves forward

#### The process:

- Surveys were created specifically for:
  - Community Members
  - High School Students
  - Students in grades K-8
- Each of these surveys was provided in the following languages in order to be accessible to our families including those who are new to the US:

Arabic	English
French	Nepali
Somali	Spanish

 The window was brief (February 29 - March 10) in order to get results back in time for today's meeting

#### **Results: How many and who?**

- There were 4063 total respondents to the surveys
  - 2343 from the adult surveys (of which 19 were in Spanish and 1 in Nepali)
  - 206 from high school students (3 French and 1 Spanish)
  - 1514 from K-8 students (4 French, 3 Spanish, and 1 Arabic)
- The two largest groups for the adult survey were:
  - School Staff (1265)
  - Parents and Caregivers (741)
- Women were the primary respondents (78.2%) to our community survey
- Race of the respondents:
  - White 50%
  - Black 28%
  - O Hispanic 9%

#### **Results: What was important (Adults)?**

- These are the percent of favorable (Fairly and Very Important) responses
- Range 83.4% (Safety and Transportation) to 35.2% (Future Use)
- For adults, the most important factors (irrespective of race):
  - Safety and Transportation (1)
  - Building Capacity (2)
  - Building Age and Condition (3 & 5)
  - Accessibility (4 & 3)
  - Educational Programs (5 & 4)
- These factors were favored by 71-83% of respondents

#### Results: What wasn't as important (Adults)?

- The least important factors (irrespective of race):
  - Future Use (14) less than 50% favorable
  - Space for other Programming (13)
  - Feeder Patterns (12 & 9)
  - Enrollment Trends (11 & 10)
  - Site Location (10 & 12)
  - Unique Site Characteristics (9 & 11)

#### **Results: What was important (Students)?**

- These are the percent of favorable (Fairly and Very Important) responses
- Range 76.6% (Accessibility) to 37.8% (Future Use)
- For student, the most important factors (irrespective of race):
  - Safety and Transportation (1 & 2)
  - Accessibility (2 & 1)
  - Building Age and Condition (3)
  - Even Burden (4)
  - Diversity (5)
- These factors were favored by 58.1-76.6% of respondents

#### Results: What wasn't as important (Students)?

- The least important factors (irrespective of race):
  - Future Use (14) less than 50% favorable
  - Student Relocation (13) less than 50% favorable
  - Unique Site Characteristics (12 & 7) less than 60% favorable
  - Educational Programs (11)
  - Building Age (10)

### **Results: Summary**

Most Important Considerations	
Adults	Students
Safety and Transportation	Safety and Transportation
Building Capacity	Accessibility
Building Age and Condition	Building Age and Condition
Accessibility	Even Burden
Educational Programs	Diversity

#### **Phase 1 Considerations for today:**

#### **PHASE 1 CRITERIA**

# STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Projected to be stable

## BUILDING UTILIZATION

Utilization (enrollment/capacity)

# BUILDING CONDITION

Important for both Adults and Students

# STUDENT TRANSFER IN/OUT RATES

 Impacts Transportation which was important for both Adults and Students

### **Questions**